

## SHORT COMMUNICATION

# Influence of terrestrial habitat isolation on the diversity and temporal distribution of anurans in an agricultural landscape

Fernando Rodrigues da Silva<sup>\*,1</sup> and Denise de Cerqueira Rossa-Feres<sup>†</sup>

\* Programa de pós-graduação em Biologia Animal, Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho – UNESP, Campus São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

† Departamento de Zoologia e Botânica, UNESP, Campus São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

(Accepted 19 December 2010)

**Key Words:** amphibian, generalized linear models, landscape, semideciduous forest

Discontinuity between suitable aquatic and terrestrial habitats forces many amphibian species with aquatic larvae to undertake risky breeding migrations through disturbed environments, potentially contributing to population declines by reducing survival of adults and juveniles (Becker *et al.* 2007). The north-western region of the state of São Paulo, Brazil, is characterized by fragments of mesophytic semideciduous forest and savanna, surrounded by a matrix of pasture, plantations and urban areas with only 4% of the remaining original vegetation of the state (Ribeiro *et al.* 2009). Currently, 36 anuran species have been recorded in this region, corresponding to almost 15% of the state's species (Araújo *et al.* 2009). The anuran species of this region are associated with open environments or are widely distributed in the different Brazilian biomes (Duellman 1999). Silva & Rossa-Feres (2007) showed the importance of forest fragments in this region for 12 anuran species that breed in pools located in a pasture matrix.

Therefore, because anuran species of this region rely on forests fragments when not reproducing, and because the dry season is an important factor that may limit anuran migration through a pasture matrix, we hypothesized that: (1) breeding pools near forest fragments (hereafter proximal pools) would have greater species richness and abundance than breeding pools farther from forest fragments (hereafter distant pools) because proximity decreases risks associated with dehydration and predation during breeding migrations; and (2) temporal distribution of anuran species in proximal pools would be longer

than in distant pools because the climate conditions (e.g. moisture) associated with proximal pools would be favoured by proximity to the forest fragment.

This study was conducted in Icém (20°22'S; 49°15'W), north-west of the state of São Paulo, Brazil. In this region, the mesophytic semideciduous forest, one of the subtypes of the Atlantic Forest Domain (*sensu* Ab'Saber 1977), has largely been replaced by a matrix of pasture with sparse trees, used for extensive cattle-farming systems. The climate is characterized as hot and humid tropical (<http://mapas.ibge.gov.br/clima>) with two well-defined climatic seasons: rainy season, between October and March, and a pronounced dry season, between April and September, receiving only 15% of the total annual precipitation, that varies between 1100 and 1250 mm (SD: ± 225 mm) (Barcha & Arid 1971).

We selected six breeding pools in a pasture matrix. They were assigned to one of two categories according to distance from forest fragments: (1) three pools near forest fragments (maximum distance 30 m from edge of fragments) and (2) three pools distant from forest fragments (minimum distance 335 m from edge of the forest fragments). The proximal pools were associated with three small fragments (3, 7 and 9 ha), separated 1–4 km apart, while distant pools were associated with three different fragments (6, 8 and 20 ha), separated 0.7–4.5 km apart. To minimize the variation in the characteristics of breeding pools, all selected pools were temporary or semi-permanent and did not contain fish. Breeding ponds were characterized according to size and dominant vegetation within and around breeding pools (Table 1).

Anuran communities were sampled every 2 wk during the rainy season (September 2004–March 2005) and

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Email: bigosbio@yahoo.com.br

**Table 1.** Descriptors of the breeding pools studied in Icém, São Paulo state, Brazil. Low heterogeneity = no vegetation in the pool, dominance of low herbaceous plants on the banks; intermediate heterogeneity = little vegetation in the breeding pool interior, banks with low and erect herbaceous vegetation; high heterogeneity = more than 30% of the breeding pool interior with vegetation, banks with low and erect herbaceous plants and shrubs/trees. BN = breeding pool near forest remnants, BD = breeding pools distant from forest remnants.

	Geographic coordinates	Distance from the forest remnant (m)	Size (m <sup>2</sup> )	Heterogeneity
BN1	20°22'S 49°15'W	2	90	High
BN2	20°22'S 49°12'W	30	124	High
BN3	20°22'S 49°11'W	3	320	Low
BD1	20°21'S 49°16'W	335	342	Low
BD2	20°21'S 49°14'W	1000	168	Intermediate
BD3	20°21'S 49°12'W	340	319	Intermediate

monthly during the dry season (April–August 2005), totalling 19 samples in each breeding pool. Surveys of vocalizing adult males were conducted at a point on the pool edge, between 19h00 and 24h00. Larvae were sampled between 15h00 and 18h00 with a hand net (mesh size of 3 mm<sup>2</sup>). At each sampling date, relative humidity was recorded in each breeding pool, with a thermohygrometer (Tonka HT-100).

To compare species richness (sum of adult and larvae) between proximal and distant pools we fitted generalized linear models (GLMs) to the data using the GLM function implemented in the NLME package. Since our data were not overdispersed, the analyses were carried out with Poisson distribution and log link function. To determine the optimal model, we started with a model in which the fixed component contained all explanatory variables. We used Akaike's information criterion, corrected for small sample sizes (AICc, Burnham & Anderson 1998), to select explanatory variables that were driving total species richness. We used Akaike weights to evaluate model-selection uncertainty.

To compare abundance patterns and species evenness between proximal and distant pools, we used rank-abundance curves (Feinsinger 2001). For each group we plotted the relative abundance of each species on a logarithmic scale against the rank order of the species from the most to the least abundant. To verify if the distant pools have some effect on the species abundance over the months in which they vocalized, we fitted generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) to the data using the lmer function implemented in the LME4 package. Here the monthly observations were the repeated measures and were considered as a random effect. The model selection was the same as described above for GLM. GLM and GLMM analyses were carried out using R version 2.10.1 (R developmental core team, 2010, available at: <http://www.Rproject.org>).

We recorded adults of 20 species and tadpoles of 19 species, totalling 22 species of anuran in the six breeding pools sampled (Table 2). None of the explanatory variables, distance from breeding pools (likelihood ratio test,  $\chi^2 = 0.30$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.58$ ;  $\Delta AICc = 4.7$ ), breeding pool size ( $\chi^2 = 0.56$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.45$ ;  $\Delta AICc = 4.4$ ), habitat heterogeneity ( $\chi^2 = 0.29$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.59$ ;  $\Delta AICc = 4.7$ ) and fragment size ( $\chi^2 = 0.17$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.67$ ;  $\Delta AICc = 4.8$ ), was considered a good predictor of total species richness.

Hierarchical position of species (e.g. changes in species dominance at different distances from forest fragments) did not differ among proximal and distant pools (Figure 1). The first six most dominant species in the rank-abundance curves were the same in both proximal and distant pools. On the other hand, species in proximal pools were more abundant than those of distant pools (Figure 1). Fifteen species occurred in both proximal and distant pools (Table 2). From these species, the model that included distance of breeding pools as variable was the most parsimonious ( $\Delta AICc = 0.0$ ) in explaining the abundance distribution for *Scinax similis* ( $\chi^2 = 9.13$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ; AICc weight = 0.69), *S. fuscovarius* ( $\chi^2 = 11.9$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; AICc weight = 0.97) and *Leptodactylus fuscus* ( $\chi^2 = 9.35$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ; AICc weight = 0.35). Furthermore, three species, *S. fuscovarius*, *S. similis* and *L. podicipinus* vocalized for a longer period of time, at least 3 mo longer, in proximal pools than in distant one (Table 2).

Population size of amphibians is determined not only by the aquatic habitat but also by the suitability of the terrestrial habitat area (Gibbons 2003, Rittenhouse & Semlitsch 2007). Forest fragments are less disturbed habitats compared to agricultural and urban areas, facilitating anuran migration from areas used for breeding, to those used for shelter, feeding and aestivation (Gibbons 2003, Silva & Rossa-Feres 2007), because altered environments probably constitute barriers to their movements (Becker *et al.* 2007, Gibbs 1998). Of the three most abundant species in proximal pools, two were recorded by Silva & Rossa-Feres (2007) using the forest fragments as shelter and/or foraging areas. Prado (2009) in a study with pitfall traps installed in 18 forest fragments in the same region, recorded 15 species of terrestrial anurans known to occur in this region in the interior of forest fragments. These results reinforce the use of forest fragments even for anuran species that breed in pools outside forest fragments.

Several temperate-zone studies recorded higher anuran richness in breeding pools near forest fragments than those without nearby forest fragments (Herrmann *et al.* 2005, Laan & Verboom 1990). In contrast to these studies, where species composition was a mix of forest-associated and open-habitat species, the species


**Table 2.** Anuran species list recorded in breeding pools localized at different distances from forest fragments in Icém, São Paulo state, Brazil, from September 2004 to August 2005. Phase of life: A = adult and T = Tadpole. Vocalization = number of months that anuran species were recorded in vocalization activity at breeding pools. \*Anuran species with temporal distribution longer, at least 3 mo longer, in breeding pools near than distant from forest fragments. \*\*Anuran species with temporal distribution longer, at least 3 mo longer, in breeding pools distant than near from forest fragments.

	Species code	Phase of life		Vocalization (mo)	
		Near	Distant	Near	Distant
<b>Bufonidae</b>					
<i>Rhinella schneideri</i> (Werner, 1984)	1		A/T	–	1
<b>Hylidae</b>					
<i>Dendropsophus elianae</i> (Napoli & Caramaschi, 2000)	2	A/T	A/T	4	4
<i>Dendropsophus minutus</i> (Peters, 1872)	3	A/T	A/T	12	11
<i>Dendropsophus nanus</i> (Boulenger, 1889)	4	A/T	A/T	6	6
<i>Hypsiboas albopunctatus</i> (Spix, 1824)	5	A/T	A/T	5	6
<i>Pseudis platensis</i> Gallardo, 1961	6		A	–	2
<i>Scinax fuscovarius</i> * (Lutz, 1925)	7	A/T	A/T	5	2
<i>Scinax similis</i> * (Cochran, 1952)	8	A/T	A/T	4	1
<i>Trachycephalus venulosus</i> (Laurenti, 1968)	9	A/T	T	1	–
<b>Leptodactylidae</b>					
<i>Leptodactylus latrans</i> (Steffen, 1815)	10		A	–	1
<i>Leptodactylus furnarius</i> Sazima & Bokermann, 1978	11		T	–	–
<i>Leptodactylus fuscus</i> (Schneider, 1799)	12	A/T	A/T	6	4
<i>Leptodactylus labyrinthicus</i> (Spix, 1824) <i>Spi</i>	13	A/T	A	5	4
<i>Leptodactylus mystacinus</i> (Burmeister, 1861)	14		A	–	1
<i>Leptodactylus podicipinus</i> * (Cope, 1862)	15	A/T	A/T	6	3
<b>Leiuperidae</b>					
<i>Eupemphix nattereri</i> Steindachner, 1863	16	A/T	A/T	5	5
<i>Physalaemus cuvieri</i> Fitzinger, 1826	17	A/T	A/T	6	5
<i>Physalaemus marmoratus</i> (Reinhardt & Lutken, 1862)	18	T	T	–	–
<i>Pseudopaludicola</i> aff. <i>falcipes</i>	19	A/T	A/T	7	6
<i>Pseudopaludicola mystacalis</i> ** (Cope, 1887)	20	A	A/T	2	6
<b>Microhylidae</b>					
<i>Dermatonotus muelleri</i> (Boettger, 1885)	21	A	A/T	1	1
<i>Elachistocleis</i> sp.	22	A/T	A	1	1

recorded in north-western São Paulo can be considered typical of open areas and tolerant to anthropogenic modifications (Duellman 1999). The species recorded in the interior of forest fragments are the same species breeding at pools in open habitats (Prado 2009, Silva & Rossa-Feres 2007). Therefore, the distance from breeding pools to forest fragments should not influence species composition, but the abundance and period of vocalization of species in proximal and distant pools during the rainy season. Silva (unpubl. data) in the same region verified that anuran abundance was greater in experimental pools installed near forest fragments than in those installed farther from forest fragments. High temperature and lower soil moisture in open areas restrict the occurrence of anurans, which experience high rates of evaporative water loss and mortality due to desiccation or increased mortality from predators (DeManyadier & Hunter 1999, Rothermel & Semlitsch 2002). Consequently, proximity of breeding pools from forested patches and more suitable micro-climatic conditions provided by forest fragments enable some species to continue vocalizing compared to breeding pools distant from them.

The persistence and metapopulation structure of many semi-aquatic species (e.g. many amphibians and reptiles) is dependent on both aquatic environments and terrestrial corridors (Lima & Gascon 1999, Marsh & Trenham 2001). Here we found that proximal pools had higher values of relative air humidity than distant ones ( $t = 2.23$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ), which represents a plausible mechanism leading to changes in species abundances between proximal and distant pools. The maintenance of sink populations (distant pools) by source population (proximal pools) may be particularly important for persistence of anurans inhabiting distant pools in open areas, because individuals using distant pools in one year may not be present in the same breeding pool in the next year, due to high mortality rate during migration between areas used for reproduction and shelter. As a result, these areas may present a high recolonization rate by new individuals coming from proximal pools each reproduction season. Though metapopulation dynamics are not tested here, relative species abundance patterns suggest an important influence of landscape context on amphibian community structure.

There are relatively few studies of Neotropical anurans that examine the landscape factors affecting structure of



**Figure 1.** Curves for abundance of anurans in vocalization activity at breeding pools located near (○) and distant (□) from forest fragments in Içém, São Paulo state, Brazil. Species codes are given in Table 2. The relative abundance of each species (sum of anuran abundance recorded for pools at each distance) recorded in vocalization activity was plotted on a logarithmic scale against the species rank ordered from most to least abundant. The species abundance for each pool was considered as the greatest abundance recorded from among the 12 repeat samples (from September 2004 to August 2005) by listening for calling males around the margins of temporary pools (*sensu* Scott & Woodward 1994).

communities. Lima & Gascon (1999) in the Amazon Basin verified that linear remnants could function as corridors for some anuran species since they increased landscape connectivity. Becker *et al.* (2007) demonstrated that even anuran species that usually avoid open habitats in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest are forced to cross inhospitable matrix habitats when breeding sites are not present within forest fragments. Our results have important implications for understanding the pattern of abundance and temporal occurrence of anurans on savanna landscapes. Even small forest fragments influence population parameters (abundance and temporal occurrence) of open-area anurans. The differences in abundance among species in proximal and distant pools emphasize the importance of conservation and species-specific management strategies considering a combination of aspects of the life history and behavioural characteristics of species (Cushman 2006). We suggest that the preservation of breeding pools located near small forest fragments in agricultural landscape will harbour a higher number of individuals than those distant ones and consequently, will contribute to the maintenance of more viable anuran populations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to James E. Arrigoni Jr. for the help with the writing review and suggestions on the

manuscript and to BIOTA/FAPESP for the financial support (2004/04820-3). Fernando R. da Silva thanks FAPESP for the grant (2004/12223-5). Denise C. Rossa-Feres thanks CNPq.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AB'SABER, A. N. 1977. Os domínios morfoclimáticos na América do Sul. *Geomorfologia* 52:1–159.
- ARAÚJO, O. G. S., TOLEDO, L. F., GARCIA, P. C. A. & HADDAD, C. F. B. 2009. The amphibians of São Paulo State, Brazil. *Biota Neotropica* 9:197–209.
- BARÇA, S. F. & ARID, F. M. 1971. Estudo da evapotranspiração na região norte-ocidental do estado de São Paulo. *Revista de Ciências da Faculdade de Ciências e Letras* 1:94–122.
- BECKER, C. G., FONSECA, C. R., HADDAD, C. F. B., BATISTA, R. F. & PRADO, P. I. 2007. Habitat split and the global decline of amphibians. *Science* 318:1775–1777.
- BURNHAM, K. P. & ANDERSON, D. R. 1998. *Model selection and inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. Springer, New York. 353 pp.
- CUSHMAN, S. A. 2006. Effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on amphibians: a review and prospectus. *Biological Conservation* 128:231–240.
- DEMAYNADIER, P. G. & HUNTER, M. L. 1999. Forest canopy closure and juvenile emigration by pool-breeding amphibians in Maine. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63:441–450.

- DUELLMAN, W. E. 1999. Distribution patterns of amphibians in the South America. Pp. 255–316 in Duellman, W. E. (ed.). *Patterns of distribution of amphibians – a global perspective*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- FEINSINGER, P. 2001. *Designing field studies for biodiversity conservation*. Island Press, Washington, DC. 212 pp.
- GIBBONS, J. W. 2003. Terrestrial habitat: a vital component for herpetofauna of isolated wetlands. *Wetlands Journal* 23:630–635.
- GIBBS, J. P. 1998. Amphibian movements in response to forest edges, roads, and streambeds in Southern New England. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 62:584–589.
- HERRMANN, H. L., BABBITT, K. J., BABER, M. J. & CONGALTON, R. G. 2005. Effects of landscape characteristics on amphibian distribution in a forest-dominated landscape. *Biological Conservation* 123:139–149.
- LAAN, R. & VERBOOM, B. 1990. Effects of pool size and isolation on amphibian communities. *Biological Conservation* 54:251–262.
- LIMA, M. G. & GASCON, C. 1999. The conservation value of linear forest remnants in central Amazonia. *Biological Conservation* 91:241–247.
- MARSH, D. M. & TRENHAM, P. C. 2001. Metapopulation dynamics and amphibian conservation. *Conservation Biology* 15:40–49.
- PRADO, V. H. M. 2009. *Uso de remanescentes florestais pela anurofauna da região noroeste do Estado de São Paulo*. PhD thesis, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.
- RIBEIRO, M. C., METZGER, J. P., MARTENSEN, A. C., PONZONI, F. J. & HIROTA, M. M. 2009. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: how much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. *Biological Conservation* 142:1141–1153.
- RITTENHOUSE, T. A. G. & SEMLITSCH, R. D. 2007. Post-breeding habitat use by wood frogs in Missouri oak-hickory forest. *Journal of Herpetology* 41:645–653.
- ROTHERMEL, B. B. & SEMLITSCH, R. D. 2002. An experimental investigation of landscape resistance of forest versus old-field habitats to emigrating juvenile amphibians. *Conservation Biology* 16:1324–1332.
- SCOTT, N. J. & WOODWARD, B. D. 1994. Surveys at breeding sites. Pp. 84–92 in Heyer, W. R., Donnelly, M. A., McDiarmid, R. W., Hayek, L. A. C. & Foster, M. S. (eds). *Measuring and monitoring biological diversity – standard methods for amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC.
- SILVA, F. R. & ROSSA-FERES, D. C. 2007. The use of forest fragments by open-area anurans (Amphibia) in northwestern São Paulo State, Brazil. *Biota Neotropica* 7:141–148.